

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/567,901

Applicant(s)

FLEURY ET AL

Examiner

DAVID R. SAMPLE

Art Unit

1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID R. SAMPLE(3) Daniel Pereira(2) Michael Nelson

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09 October 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Joret in view of Wolfe

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art as it related to the pending claims and possible non-obviousness of the claims in view of the 1.132 declaration. Also discussed the internal stresses generated by high Si/Zr ratios.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David R. Sample/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794